## **Facile Pathway-defined Fluxional Cluster lsomerization in Ten-vertex c/oso-2,1,6-Metalladicarbaboranes of Ruthenium and Rhodium**

Mark Bown,<sup>a</sup> Tomaš Jelínek,<sup>a</sup> Bohumil Štíbr,<sup>a</sup> Stanislav Heřmánek,<sup>a</sup> Xavier L. R. Fontaine,<sup>b</sup> **Norman N. Greenwood,b John D. Kennedy,b and Mark Thornton-Pettb** 

*a Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, 25068 Re2 near Prague, Czechoslovakia*  **<sup>b</sup>***School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, U.K.* 

Multi-element n.m.r. spectroscopy allied with deuterium labelling and single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis reveals fluxionality within  $[2-(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)-c\log(2,1,6-RUC_2B_7H_9)]$  and  $[2-(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)-c\log(2,1,6-RNC_2B_7H_9)]$  that results in enantiomeric exchange (between the 2,1,6 and 2,1,9 forms) *via* defined diamond-square-diamond pathways.

We report an unprecedented fluxional isomerism in two new *closo* ten-vertex metalladicarbaboranes,  $[2-(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)-closo-$ 2,1,6-RuC<sub>2</sub>B<sub>7</sub>H<sub>9</sub>] (1) and  $[2-(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)-clos_2^2]$ .  $RhC_2B_7H_9$  (2), that is specifically defined and extremely facile.

Reaction between  $[(\eta^6$ -C<sub>6</sub>Me<sub>6</sub> $)$ RuCl<sub>2</sub> $]_2$ , or  $[(\eta^5$ - $C_5Me_5)RhCl_2_2$ , and [arachno-4,6- $C_2B_7H_{12}$ ] in CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution results in the formation of compound **(1)** (60%; unopti-



**Figure 1.** Drawing of the crystallographically determined molecular structure of  $[2-(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)-clos_2,1,6-RuC_2B_7H_9]$  [compound (1); actually obtained for the 3,9-dideuteriated derivative]. Selected interatomic distances are as follows:  $Ru(2)-C(1)$  205.8(6),  $Ru(2)-$ B(9) 219.8(6) pm. The fluxional isomerism to give the enantiomer effectively (see Scheme) involves reversible migration of  $C(1)$  to the 9-, B(3) to the *5-,* B(5) to the lo-, C(6) to the 1-, B(7) to the 8-, B(8) to the 7-,  $B(9)$  to the 6-, and  $B(10)$  to the 3-position, whereas  $Ru(2)$  and B(4) remain at the 2- and 4-positions respectively. B(3) 224.6(6), Ru(2)-B(5) 227.4(6), Ru(2)-C(6) 219.8(5), Ru(2)-



**Scheme 1** 

mised), or compound **(2)** (63% : unoptimised), respectively, as air-stable yellow crystalline solids. X-Ray diffraction analysis on the 3,9-dideuteriated isotopomer of compound **(1)** reveals the molecular structure shown in Figure 1.t The cluster site electron densities, thermal parameters, *etc.,* indicate that  $C(6)/B(9) - B(6)/C(9)$  disorder is not significant, and a crystallographic inversion centre therefore dictates that both enantiomers (formally the 2,1,6- and 2,1,9-isomers) are each specifically present and ordered in the crystal.

N.m.r. spectroscopy at 294K on compound **(1)** reveals a  $2:1:2:1:1$  <sup>11</sup>B and a  $2:1:2:2:1:1$  <sup>1</sup>H relative intensity pattern for the  ${C_2B_7H_9}$  unit,# indicating fluxionality but with scrambling only within each of selected site pairs. Low temperature n.m.r.  $(<213 K)$  revealed seven separate <sup>11</sup>B and nine separate <sup>1</sup>H resonances for the  $\{C_2B_7H_9\}$  unit, § consistent with the solid-state structure. Intermediate temperatures showed that the exchange occurred within each of the pairs  $CH(1)/CH(6)$ ,  $BH(5)/BH(10)$ , and  $BH(4)/BH(7)$ , with coalescence temperatures giving a value for  $\Delta G_{272}^{\dagger}$  of *ca*.  $45 \text{ kJ}$  mol<sup>-1</sup>. BH(3), BH(8), and BH(9) each remained in, or

 $\frac{1}{2}$  *Crystal data* for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>25</sub>B<sub>7</sub>D<sub>2</sub>Ru, *M* = 374.11, triclinic, space group  $P\overline{1}$ ,  $a = 839.4(2)$ ,  $b = 935.8(2)$ ,  $c = 1259.1(3)$  pm,  $\alpha = 77.08(2)$ ,  $\beta = 0$ 84.99(2),  $\gamma = 64.92(2)$ °,  $U = 0.8731(3)$  nm<sup>3</sup>,  $Z = 2$ ,  $\mu = 7.90$  cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $F(000) = 380$ . Scan widths  $2.0^\circ + \alpha$ -doublet splitting, scan speeds 2.0–29.3° min<sup>-1</sup> and  $4.0 < 20 < 50.0$ °. Total data collected = 3150, no. observed =  $3039$   $[I > 2.0\sigma(I)], R = 0.0295, R_w = 0.0346$  (g =  $0.0001$ ).

All crystallographic measurements were made on a Nicolet P3/F diffractometer operating in the  $\omega/2\theta$  scan mode using graphitemonochromated Mo- $K_{\alpha}$  radiation following a procedure described elsewhere.5 The data set was corrected for absorption empirically.6 The structure was solved by standard heavy-atom methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares using SHELX76.7 All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The methyl hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions (C-H =  $108 \text{ pm}$ ) and refined with an overall isotropic temperature factor while all borane hydrogen atoms were located in Fourier difference maps and freely refined with individual isotropic thermal parameters. The weighting scheme  $w = [\sigma^2(F_o) + g(F_o)^2]^{-1}$  was used at the end of refinement. Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.

 $\sharp$  N.m.r. data: assignment,  $\delta(^{11}B)$  at 294 K  $[\delta(^{1}H)$  at 294 K]; BH(5 and 10),  $-3.2$  [+2.46]; BH(9),  $-5.75$  [+2.605]; BH(4 and 7),  $-19.6$  $[+1.01]$ ; BH(8), -20.6  $[+0.45]$ ; BH(3), -23.7  $[+0.73]$ ; CH(1 and 6),  $-$  [+4.10]; C<sub>6</sub>Me<sub>6</sub>,  $-$  [+2.12]; CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution.

§ N.m.r. data: assignment,  $\delta(^{11}B)$  at 213 K [ $\delta(^{11}H)$  at 193 K]; BH(10), +16.5 [+4.87]; BH(4), *ca.*  $-6.5$  [+1.81]; BH(9), *ca.*  $-6.5$  [+2.48]; BH(8), -21.05 [+0.32]; BH(5), -24.1 [-0.22]; BH(3), -24.1 [-0.58]; BH(7), -33.7 [-0.03]; CH(1), - [+5.60]; CH(6), - $[+0.58]$ ; BH(7), -33.7  $[-0.03]$ ; CH(1), -  $[+5.60]$ ; CH(6), -<br> $[+2.39]$ ; C<sub>6</sub>Me<sub>6</sub>, -  $[+2.04]$ ; CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution;  $\delta(^{11}B)$  and  $\delta(^{1}H)$  in p.p.m. to low field (high frequency) of  $BF_3(OEt_2)$  and  $SiMe<sub>4</sub>$ respectively; assignments by  $[11B-11B]$ -COSY,  $[1H-1H]$ -COSY, and  ${}^{1}H$ -{ ${}^{11}B$ (selective)} n.m.r. experiments.

was converted to, a chemically identical position throughout the fluxionality. Variable temperature n.m.r. on specifically deuteriated  $[3,9-D_2-2-(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)-closo-2,1,6-RuC_2B_7H_7],$ prepared using  $[3,5-D_2\text{-}arachno-4,6-C_2B_7H_{10}]$  (schematic structure I in Scheme l), confirmed this and showed that any other more extensive site-scrambling did not occur on a longer time-scale. The rhodium analogue **(2)** was static at 294 K, but exhibited an equivalent fluxionality at higher temperatures with  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{345}$  *ca.* 58 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.

These results specifically eliminate the possibility of isomerisation by triangular face rotation, and define the fluxional isomerisation as occurring *via* two concerted or sequential diamond-square-diamond processes involving the  $Ru(2)C(1)B(5)B(9)$  and  $Ru(2)C(6)B(9)B(10)$  units. These four-atom units both contain ruthenium which is known<sup>1,2</sup> to induce open character among adjacent faces. The process may therefore proceed *via* 'square-face' *isonid03* intermediates, possibly linked by a transition state that approximates geometrically to a 6,9-bridged normal *nido* (schematic structure **11).** This last permits a ready visualisation of the isomerisation and suggests **a** mechanism for cluster formation (see Scheme I).

Although numerous metalladicarbaborane cluster isomerisations have been reported, in no case, as far as we are aware, has the detailed mechanism of the rearrangement previously been defined. Furthermore, activation energies for reported isomerisations are high, so that temperatures above about 600 K, or ultra-violet irradiation, are generally necessary.4 The specifically defined and extremely facile fluxional isomerism of compounds **(1)** and **(2)** is therefore without precedent.

We thank the S.E.R.C., the British Council, and the Royal Society for support, and the University of Leeds Research Fund for an equipment grant. J. D. K. thanks Donna Kennedy for assistance with his travelling expenses.

*Received, 8th March 1988; Corn. 81009428* 

## **References**

- M. Bown, X. L. R. Fontaine, N. N. Greenwood, J. D. Kennedy, and P. MacKinnon, *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 1987, 817.
- M. Bown, X. L. R. Fontaine, N. N. Greenwood, J. D. Kennedy, and M. Thornton-Pett, *Organometallics,* 1987, **6,** 2254.
- See, for example, **J.** D. Kennedy, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.,* 1986, **34,**  290-297, and references cited therein.
- $\overline{A}$ See, for example, R. N. Grimes, in 'Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry,' eds. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone, and E. Abel, Pergamon, 1982, Part 1, ch. *5,* pp. 480-485, and references cited therein; for more recent work in the general (non-metallic) area see, for example, *Z.* J. Abou, M. Soltis, B. Oh. G. Siwap, T. Banuelos, W. Nam, and **T.** Onak, *Inorg. Chem.,* 1985, 24,2363.
- A. Modinos and P. Woodward, *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.,* 1974, 2065.
- 6 N. Walker and D. Stuart, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A,* 1983,39, 158.
- 7 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX 76, Program for X-ray Structure Determination, University of Cambridge, 1976.